## TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY Department of Computer Science 0368.3239 – Foundations of Data Mining Fall Semester, 2017/2018

## Homework 2, November 20, 2017

- Due on Tuesday December 4 23:59 IST.
- Submission instructions: We are using https://gradescope.com. Gradescope entry code for the course is: MYVDZ5 Please prepare a PDF file with each problem starting on a new page. When uploading, you will need to indicate locations of each problem/section.
- You may consult any sources or people but you must write and submit the solution yourself and state your collaborators.
- 1. Consider the linear sketch of size 3 defined by the following matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots & n \\ 1 & 4 & 9 & 16 & \dots & n^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We maintain s = Mb for a non-negative vector b of length n. Let  $s = (s_0, s_1, s_2)$ .

- a. (10 points) Show how to use s to detect if b contains exactly one non-zero entry (without error) and return this entry in case b indeed contains exactly one non-zero entry.
- b. (10 points) Describe a linear sketch that returns a positive element from b uniformly at random with constant probability. (You may still assume that b is non-negative so you can use your sketch in (a).) State the query algorithm precisely. Give a lower bound on the success probability of your sketch. What is the size of your sketch?

**Hint:** Design a simple sketch that samples a random subset of  $2^j$  elements from b in expectation for  $j = 0, 1, ..., \log n$ , and compose it with sketches from the first part of this question.

You may use a fully random hash functions and assume that n is a power of 2 for simplicity.

2. A count-min sketch is designed to approximate the values of the entries of the vector b which we sketch that is initially all 0 and when provided with positive updates of the form (i, x)  $(b_i := b_i + x)$ .

The sketch has two parameters  $(\epsilon, \delta)$  and consists of an  $d \times w$  array count of counters where  $w = \lceil \frac{e}{\epsilon} \rceil$ and  $d = \lceil \ln(1/\delta) \rceil$ . Let  $h_1, \ldots, h_d$  independent hash functions from a pairwise independent family of hash functions mapping  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  to  $\{1, ..., w\}$ . We initialize the counters to 0, and we process an update (i, x) by setting

For 
$$j = 1, \dots, d$$
: count $[j, h_j[i]] \leftarrow \text{count}[j, h_j[i]] + x$ 

Assuming that all updates are positive, we approximate the current value of  $b_i$  by

$$\hat{b}_i := \min_{j=1,\dots,d} \operatorname{count}[j, h_j[i]]$$

- a. (10 points) The count-min sketch is in fact a linear sketch of the form s = Mb. Define precisely the matrix M. What is the length of s?
- b. (5 points) Prove that  $b_i \leq \hat{b}_i \leq b_i + \epsilon ||b||_1$  with probability  $1 \delta$  (where  $||b||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|$ ). **Hint:** Use Markov inequality.

To allow both positive and negative updates we add a few more rows to the array so now we have  $d' \ge d$  rows and w columns. The update procedure is defined as before in all d' rows but our estimate is

$$b_i = \text{median}_{j=1,\dots,d'} \text{count}[j, h_j[i]]$$
.

c. (5 points) How many rows d' do we need in order to guarantee that  $b_i - 2\epsilon ||b||_1 \leq \hat{b}_i \leq b_i + 2\epsilon ||b||_1$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ? **Hint:** Use Markov and Chernoff bounds.

## 3. Estimating weighted Jaccard similarity. Consider two nonnegative vectors

$$V = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \tag{1}$$

$$U = (u_1, \dots, u_n) . \tag{2}$$

The weighted Jaccard similarity of the two vectors is defined as

$$J(U,V) := \frac{||\min\{U,V\}||_1}{||\max\{U,V\}||_1} ,$$

where

$$||\min\{U,V\}||_{1} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{v_{i}, u_{i}\}$$
$$||\max\{U,V\}||_{1} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\{v_{i}, u_{i}\}.$$

We are interested in estimating J(U, V) from respective coordinated bottom-k samples S(V) and S(U) of the vectors. Assume  $k \ge 3$ .

Note: coordinated means the samples are computed using the same hash function.

**Note:** By a bottom-k sample of a vector V we refer to a bottom-k sample of the set of the key-value pairs  $\{(i, v_i)\}$  that include all i = 1, ..., n where  $v_i > 0$ .

For simplicity, assume we use pps ("priority") sampling  $(r(i, v_i) = h(i)/v_i$  for  $h \sim U[0, 1])$ .

Given S(V), S(U), and h

- a. (8 points) Give a nonnegative unbiased estimator to  $||\min\{U, V\}||_1$
- b. (8 points) Give a nonnegative unbiased estimator to  $|| \max\{U, V\} ||_1$
- c. (4 points) Compare the quality of your  $||\max\{U, V\}||_1$  estimate to the quality of an estimate obtained directly from a bottom-k sample S(A) of the vector  $A = \max\{U, V\}$ . In which case we can get a better estimate? Substantiate your claim.

Note: For concreteness, you may consider the conditioned inverse probability estimate shown in class.

4. **Difference estimation from samples.** Consider a vector  $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$  with nonnegative entries  $w_i \ge 0$  that is pps sampled with some  $\alpha > 0$ . So that the *i*th entry is sampled (that is,  $(i, w_i)$  is included in the sample S) independently with probability min $\{1, \alpha w_i\}$ .

- a. (5 points) Assume that we know that  $w_1 \ge w_2 > 0$ . Find an unbiased nonnegative estimator for  $w_1 w_2$ .
- b. (5 points) What is the variance of your estimator? (expressed in terms of  $\alpha$ ,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ )
- c. (5 points) What can you say on  $w_i$  when the sample does not include the *i*th entry? (specify the tightest range you can)
- d. (5 points) We now assume that we know that  $w_1 \ge w_2 \ge 0$  (allowing the case  $w_2 = 0$ ). Specify an unbiased estimator (that can be negative) for  $w_1 - w_2$ . Is there an unbiased nonnegative estimator? (prove).

5. **Difference estimation from hash-based samples.** Consider pps sampling as in the previous question. Except that this time we use a random hash function  $h(i) \sim U[0, 1]$  (assume h(i) and h(j) are independent when  $i \neq j$ ) to perform the sampling:  $(i, w_i)$  is included in the sample iff  $h(i) \leq \alpha w_i$ . We assume that  $h \sim H$  is available to us with the sample S. In the following, assume that we know that  $w_1 \geq w_2$ .

- a. (5 points) What can we say about the value of  $w_i$  when the *i*th entry is not sampled? (specify the tightest range you can)
- b. (5 points) What can we say on  $w_1 w_2$  given a sample S? (specify the tightest range you can)
- c. (10 points) Assuming  $w_2 > 0$ , can you find an unbiased nonnegative estimator for  $w_1 w_2$  with lower variance than in the previous question? If so, state it and analyse the variance. You may assume for simplicity that  $w_1 \leq 1/\alpha$ .
- d. (Extra credit 10 points) Can you find an unbiased nonnegative estimator for  $w_1 w_2$  when allowing  $w_2 = 0$ ? You may assume for simplicity that  $w_1 \ge 1/\alpha$ . Prove that it is unbiased for all  $w_2 \ge 0$ .